## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

GREGORY PAPAGEORGE,

Petitioner,

v. Civil Action No. 2:07cv92

WYANE A. PHILLIPS, Warden,

Respondent.

## <u>ORDER</u>

It will be recalled that on August 27, 2008, Magistrate Judge John S. Kaull filed his Report and Recommendation, wherein the parties were directed, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), to file with the Clerk of Court any written objections within ten (10) days after being served with a copy of the Report and Recommendation. No objections have been filed. Accordingly, the Court will review the Magistrate's Report and Recommendation for clear error.<sup>1</sup>

Upon examination of the report from the Magistrate Judge, it appears to the Court that the issues raised by the Petitioner in his Petition, filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2241, wherein Petitioner seeks an order directing the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP) to transfer him to a Community Corrections Center ("CCC") for the last six months of his term of imprisonment, were thoroughly considered by Magistrate Judge Kaull in his Report and Recommendation, as was the Respondent's Motion to Dismiss and the Petitioner's Motion to Transfer to a RRC or Halfway House. Moreover, the Court, upon a review for clear error, is of the opinion that the Report and Recommendation accurately reflects the law applicable to the facts and circumstances before the Court in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The failure of a party to object to a Report and Recommendation waives the party's right to appeal from a judgment of this Court based thereon and, additionally, relieves the Court of any obligation to conduct a *de novo* review of the issues presented. *See* Wells v. Shriners Hospital, 109 F.3d 198, 199-200 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 148-153 (1985).

this action. Therefore, it is

ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Kaull's Report and Recommendation be, and

the same hereby is, accepted in whole and that this civil action be disposed of in

accordance with the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that Respondent's Motion to Dismiss for lack of ripeness be, and the

same hereby is, **DENIED**. It is further

**ORDERED** that the Petitioner's Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant

to 28 U.S.C. §2241 shall be, and the same hereby is, **DENIED as moot** and

**DISMISSED with prejudice**. It is further

**ORDERED** that the Petitioner's Motion to Transfer to a RRC or Halfway House

shall be, and the same hereby is, **DENIED**. It is further

ORDERED that the above-styled action shall be STRICKEN from the docket of

this Court. It is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk shall enter judgment for the Respondent. It is further

**ORDERED** that, if a party should desire to appeal the decision of this Court,

written notice of appeal must be received by the Clerk of this Court within thirty (30)

days from the date of the entry of the Judgment Order, pursuant to Rule 4 of the

Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure. The \$5.00 filing fee for the notice of appeal and

the \$450.00 docketing fee should also be submitted with the notice of appeal. In the

alternative, at the time the notice of appeal is submitted, Petitioner may, in accordance

with the provisions of Rule 24(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, seek

leave to proceed in forma pauperis from the United States Court of Appeals for the

Fourth Circuit.

ENTER: October <u>7<sup>th</sup></u>, 2008

United States District Judge